**COLCX Joint Validation and Verification Report**

**(Project name)**

|  |
| --- |
| **JOINT VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION REPORT** |
| Fill out this form following the instructions provided in each section. Follow the hierarchical structure of headings up to the third level and replicate the format of this table as many times as necessary. |
| **Basic Information** |
| Name of mitigation initiative |  |
| Mitigation Initiative ID |  |
| Version of this report  |  |
| Date of completion of this form | dd/mm/yyyy |
| Revised PDD version no. |  |
| Date of publication of the revised PDD | dd/mm/yyyy |
| Revised PMR version no. |  |
| Period of monitoring reported | dd/mm/yyyy - dd/mm/yyyy |
| Sector and activity of the mitigation initiative |  |
| Name of the proponent of the mitigation initiative |  |
| Country of mitigation initiative |  |
| Start date of the mitigation initiative | dd/mm/yyyy |
| Crediting period applied | dd/mm/yyyy - dd/mm/yyyy |
| Methodology used (Source and version) |  |
| Annual GHG reductions or removals | Click or tap here to enter text. tCO2e/Year |
| Total GHG reductions or removals during the period | Click or tap here to enter text. tCO2e |
| Total GHG reductions or removals in the monitored period | Click or tap here to enter text. tCO2e |
| Indicate contribution to Sustainable Development (SDG) | SDGs identified |
| Auditor's name |  |
| Name of technical reviewer |  |
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**No illustration table elements found.**
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**No illustration table elements are found.**

## Proposed Mitigation Initiative Information

### Description of the mitigation initiative

>>

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Provide the objective of the mitigation initiative and a general description of the emission reduction or removal activity that has been implemented, including: a brief indication of the location of the mitigation initiative, the technology or measures employed, the applicable limits, the baseline scenario, the estimated annual (average) and total GHG emission reductions or removals during the crediting period, a brief description of how the mitigation initiative will contribute to sustainable development, and an indication of the developer of the mitigation initiative.

Likewise, the results of the mitigation initiative shall state the total GHG emission reductions or removals that were achieved during the monitored period, a brief description of how the mitigation initiative contributed to sustainable development in the period, and a list of the main milestones achieved by the mitigation initiative.

## Validation and Verification Body Information

### Description of the evaluation team

>>

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. | Name of evaluator | Title | Position in joint validation and verification | Role in validation and joint verification | Observations |
| Documentary review | On-site visit | Interviews | Generation of findings | Report preparation |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Present the exact reference of each member of the assessment team that has participated in the validation and joint verification. Indicate for each one the full name of the person, the professional title, the position held in the validation (lead auditor, trainee auditor, technical reviewer, technical expert, financial expert, among others), the role played, and any other information considered relevant.

### VVB review and approval mechanisms

>>

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Provide a description of the different methods available to VVB to assess and approve the validation and joint verification of the mitigation initiative. This may include quality control and quality assurance and technical review processes, among others.

## Means of Validation applied by the VVB

### Documentary review

>>

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. | Document | Author | Origin / source of the document | Relation with the mitigation initiative | Document use in validation |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |  |

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

All documents that have been reviewed or consulted during the validation and joint verification of the mitigation initiative should be listed. When necessary, a more extensive list can be attached as an annex to this report.

### Visit to the initiative’s site

>>

| **Purpose of the visit:** *(Complete with the objective)* |
| --- |
| No. | Activities performed | Location | Date(dd/mm/yyyy) | Names of participants |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Information from any mitigation initiative site visits that have been conducted as part of the validation and joint verification must be listed, describing the means used and justifying why they are sufficient for validation purposes. Such justification is mandatory when no site visit is conducted in accordance with the guidelines established by the COLCX Program.

### Interviews conducted

>>

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. | Interviewee | Interview media | Date of interview (dd/mm/yyy) | Interviewer |
| Name | Last name | Role in the initiative |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

The pertinent information from each interview that has been carried out in the framework of the joint validation and verification should be listed. This includes face-to-face interviews, teleconferences, video calls, among others).

### Applied sampling

>>

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Indicate whether sampling has been used as a means of validation and verification, describing the methods applied including a description of how the sample size was determined and field verification was carried out.

### Consideration of materiality in verification

>>

| No. | Identified risk | Risk assessment | Risk treatment |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Risk level | Justification |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Provide a description of the different risks identified that could lead to material errors, omissions or inaccuracies, including a risk assessment and proposed treatment either from the verification plan and/or sampling plan (where applicable). In considering the risk assessment, the level of each risk should be classified indicating whether it is high, medium or low (according to its probability of occurrence and impact) and justifying the choice.

Also explain how materiality was considered in determining whether the errors, omissions or misstatements detected were material or immaterial, either individually or in the aggregate, and whether any additional review or evaluation process was required.

## Treatment of Validation Findings

### Type of mitigation initiative

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

It should be explained if it corresponds to the type of initiative established in accordance with the guidelines of the COLCX Program.

### Description of the mitigation initiative

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the description of the proposed mitigation initiative was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements under the COLCX Program. Also indicate how the contribution to sustainable development and eligibility criteria were assessed (for AFOLU sector initiatives indicate how land eligibility was assessed and the approach to address non-permanence), among others.

### Application of methodologies

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the application of methodologies and other regulatory methodological documents related to the mitigation initiative was assessed in accordance with the validation requirements of the COLCX Program. It is important to confirm that the selected versions of the methodologies and other regulatory documents are valid at the time of submission of the initiative for registration in the COLCX Program.

It is also necessary to:

* Indicate if there is or has been any deviation from the selected methodology or methodological tools applied. If so, confirm the date of approval and reference number.
* Indicate if there is or has been any clarification on the applicability of the methodology or methodological tools applied. If yes, confirm the date of approval and the reference number.

### Description of boundaries, sources and GHGs

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the boundaries of the mitigation initiative, the sources and GHGs selected were evaluated in accordance with the requirements defined in the COLCX Program.

For AFOLU sector initiatives, it should also explain how the selection of carbon reservoirs was evaluated in accordance with the guidelines defined for afforestation and reforestation activities by the COLCX Program.

### Baseline scenario

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the baseline scenario identified for the proposed mitigation initiative was evaluated in accordance with the applicable validation requirements provided by the COLCX Program.

### Demonstration of additionality

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how additionality was assessed in accordance with the requirements for the demonstration of additionality defined by the COLCX standard, clearly supporting how the proposed mitigation initiative meets the additionality criteria defined by the methodology, the methodology tool and the COLCX Program Guide.

### Estimation of GHG reductions or removals

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the performance of the calculation was evaluated, the equations and parameters used to calculate GHG emission reductions or removals in accordance with the applicable methodological requirements.

### Monitoring plan

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the description of the monitoring plan was evaluated and how it is appropriate according to the requirements defined by the COLCX Program. For AFOLU sector initiatives should include an explanation of how the timing of management activities, including harvest cycles and their verification, was evaluated.

### Start date and crediting period

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the start date, expected operational life and proposed crediting period were evaluated in accordance with COLCX Program requirements.

### Environmental impacts

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the environmental and socioeconomic impacts (where applicable) that were analyzed were assessed and managed in accordance with the requirements of the COLCX Program.

### Environmental Impact Assessment and Management

>>

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

A description of the verification of the environmental impact mitigation methods granted by the proponent shall be provided. Reference documentation shall be provided in accordance with the applicable guidelines of the country's requirements.

### Adaptation to climate change

>>

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Provide a description of the verification of the mechanisms to adapt to climate change in accordance with the policies and strategies in place in the area of influence of the project. Verify how the results of the implementation of the activity reduce risks from climate shocks and improve resilience in the area. Finally, evaluate means, indicators and frequencies to monitor and evaluate the results of the implementation of these actions.

### Social aspects

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the consultation process with local stakeholders was evaluated, including the treatment of comments received, in accordance with the requirements of the COLCX Program.

### Contribution to sustainable development

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the proposed contribution of the initiative to sustainable development was evaluated, clearly indicating which goals or objectives it contributes to, in accordance with the COLCX Program guidelines.

### Information management, authorizations and approvals

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the approvals and approvals granted to the mitigation initiative were evaluated in accordance with applicable regulatory and statutory requirements, and in accordance with the guidelines of the COLCX Program. In addition, indicate the methods used to manage the project information, whether they ensure quality of results and suitability for evaluation.

## Treatment of Verification Results

### Findings on the Project Monitoring Report

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of verification |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Compliance of the Project Monitoring Report must be established in accordance with the requirements defined for its preparation by the COLCX Program.

### Future Action Requests for validation and/or previous verifications

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of verification |  |
| Future Action Request |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Indicate if for the monitoring period there are remaining FAR from previous validation and/or verifications that still need to be resolved. For these, explain how they have been evaluated and how they have been treated by the proponent.

### Implementation of the mitigation initiative according to the information in the Project Design Document

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of verification |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the implementation and operation of the mitigation initiative was carried out during the monitored period, in compliance with the guidelines of the PDD. For projects in the AFOLU sector, in all cases explain how the land areas and the geographic delimitation of the project boundaries were assessed.

### Post-registration changes

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Nature of change |  |
| Treatment |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how situations were identified and assessed that could lead to the need for changes to the mitigation initiative after registration of the mitigation initiative. The nature of the change may be:

* Temporary deviation: This may be related to the monitoring plan, the methodology applied or any other regulatory aspect; in all cases, the deviation and its duration must be explained, justifying how it complies with the COLCX Program guidelines.
* Corrections: These can be on aspects of the mitigation initiative that were considered in the PDD and that do not affect the results of the validation performed; in all cases, it must be explained what the correction is about, what the expected impact is, and justify how the changes comply with the COLCX Program guidelines.
* Updating of relevant dates: These consider the dates listed in the PDD, corresponding to the relevant milestones for the COLCX Program; in all cases it must be indicated which dates have been updated, what is the expected impact, and justify how they comply with the COLCX Program guidelines.
* Modification to the monitoring plan: This is made on the conditions that determine the development of the monitoring of the mitigation initiative; in all cases, it must be explained what the required modification is about, whether it is temporary or permanent, what the expected impact is and how it complies with the COLCX Program guidelines.
* Changes in the design of the mitigation initiative (including those specific to the AFOLU sector): These consider changes to aspects of the mitigation initiative that were considered in the PDD and that materialize during implementation or the start of operation; in all cases, the changes should explain what the changes are about, what the expected impact is in terms of the results and the validation performed, and justify how the changes comply with the COLCX Program guidelines.

### Implementation of the monitoring plan in accordance with the applied methodology and other relevant documents

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of verification |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the monitoring plan complies with the guidelines of the methodology(ies) applied and the other methodological documents applied, in accordance with the requirements of the COLCX Program.

### Monitoring of the initiative in accordance with the monitoring plan

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of verification |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the monitoring performed complies with the guidelines defined in the monitoring plan and in accordance with the requirements of the COLCX Program. Specifically explain the ex-ante and ex-post monitoring of fixed data and parameters, including aspects of the sampling plan (when applicable).

### Calibration requirements for monitoring equipment

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of verification |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

For each data and parameter monitored, explain the equipment or instruments used and how they were calibrated, including aspects of the monitoring plan related to frequency, methods used, and requirements considered, among others.

### Data and calculation of GHG reductions or removals

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of verification |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the data and calculation of GHG reductions or removals were evaluated in accordance with COLCX Program requirements. In all cases, explain how the cause of any increase in GHG reductions or removals achieved in the monitoring period compared to those expected for the same period according to the PDD was evaluated.

### Co-benefits and contribution to sustainable development

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of verification |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how the monitoring of other non-carbon benefits achieved and the contribution to sustainable development by the mitigation initiative implemented and operated was evaluated in compliance with the guidelines defined in the PDD and the COLCX Program.

### Stakeholder consultation

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Means of verification |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Explain how any local stakeholder consultation process conducted during the monitored period was evaluated in accordance with COLCX Program guidelines.

## Quality Control

### Internal mechanisms applied

>>

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Describe the mechanisms and means used by the VVB to ensure the quality of the validation and verification activities carried out on the mitigation initiative.

## Validation and Verification Statement

### Validation statement

>>

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

The validation statement of the audit team must be presented, in accordance with the criteria and requirements of the COLCX Program.

### Verification opinion

>>

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

The verification opinion of the audit team must be presented, in accordance with the criteria and requirements of the COLCX Program.

### Certification of results

>>

| Activity: |
| --- |
| Reservoir: |
| Application: (Voluntary Market or Regulated Market) |
| Year | Baseline emissions or removals(tCO2e) | Gross project emissions or removals (tCO2e) | Leaks (tCO2e) | Buffer (%) | Net project emissions or removals(tCO2e) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Repeat the table as many times as necessary according to activity, reservoir and application of COLCERs.*

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

A certification from the audit team must be submitted regarding the amount of GHG reductions or removals achieved in the monitored period, according to the criteria and requirements of the COLCX Program. These values must be discriminated by activity, reservoir and final application corresponding to the type of market to which it applies (Voluntary or regulated). When the monitored period is longer than 1 year, the information of the results achieved must be presented disaggregated in annual periods (from January to December)

1. Evaluator's Contact Information

>>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name of evaluator: |  |
| Country and city: |  |
| Address: |  |
| Phone: |  |
| Cell phone: |  |
| E-mail: |  |
| Website: |  |

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

The table should be completed with the information of the person responsible for the evaluation of the mitigation initiative.

Information on the competence of the audit team

>>

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Provide information to support the competence required by the assessment team related to the validation and verification of the mitigation initiative.

Findings Report

>>

### Request for Clarification (RC)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SA-No. |  | PDD/ PMR Section |  | Date: dd/mm/yyyy |
| Description of the CR |
|  |
| Proposer's Response | Date: dd/mm/yyyy |
|  |
| Supporting documents provided |
|  |
| VVB Concept | Date: dd/mm/yyyy |
|  |

### Corrective Action Request (CAR)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SAC-No. |  | PDD/ PMR Section |  | Date: dd/mm/yyyy |
| Description of the CAR |
|  |
| Proposer's Response | Date: dd/mm/yyyy |
|  |
| Supporting documents provided |
|  |
| Conc VVB Concept | Date: dd/mm/yyyy |
|  |

### Future Action Request (FAR)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SAF-No. |  | PDD/ PMR Section |  | Date: dd/mm/yyyy |
| Description of FAR |
|  |
| Proposer's Response | Date: dd/mm/yyyy |
|  |
| Supporting documents provided |
|  |
| VVB Concept | Date: dd/mm/yyyy |
|  |

**Instructions (delete this box when filling out the form):**

Information must be provided to support the treatment of each of the findings resulting from validation and verification.

*(Signature of VVB representative)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of the VVB representative:

Position of the VVB representative:

Signature date:

**--**

| **Change Control to the Validation and Joint Verification Report** |
| --- |
| ***Version*** | ***Date*** | ***Description*** |
| 1. 1.0
 | 1. dd/mm/yyyy
 | Initial version of the validation report and joint verification of the project |
|  |  |  |
| **History of the COLCX form** |
| 1. ***Version***
 | 1. ***Date***
 | ***Description*** |
| 1. 1.0
 | 1. 13/07/2023
 | Initial version |
| 1. 2.0
 | 1. 02/04/2024
 | * Adjustment to version 1.0
* Adjustments to the format, improving wording and presentation.
* Update to numeral G.3 Certification of Results, including discrimination by reservoirs and type of market.
 |
| 1. 2.1
 | 1. 15/04/2025
 | * Structure organization, D10 and D11 are added.
 |